

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/522,053	03/09/2000	Scott A Rosenberg	INTL-0320-US-(P8003)	4245
7	590 05/22/2002			
Timothy N Trop Trop Pruner & Hu PC 8554 Katy Freeway Ste 100			EXAMINER	
			VU, NGOC K	
Houston, TX 77024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611 DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Dy.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$-\mu$			
• Office Action Summary		09/522,053	ROSENBERG ET	Δ1			
		Examiner	Art Unit	٦L.			
	-	Ngoc K. Vu	2611				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_		Iress			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	<u> March 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO				
S Patent and Tra	demail Office			<del></del>			

Application/Control Number: 09/522,053

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed June 06, 2000 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

## Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 1-10 and 14-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to the nonelected groups Ia, II, III and IV, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/522,053

Art Unit: 2611

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yang et al. (US 6,005,620).

Regarding claim 11, Yang discloses a system (12) comprising: a processor (82, 42); storage (86, 42) coupled to the processor; a video controller (RTE 52) coupled to the processor; a packetization device (72, 52) coupled to the video controller which independently packetizes (each video source 32 is packetized separately by its associated packetization device 72, 52) at least two video streams (see FIG. 1-4; col. 3, lines 60-62; col. 4, lines 23-31).

Regarding claim 12, Yang shows a modulation device (52) to modulate and transport the independently packetized streams (see FIG. 1-4; col. 3, lines 4-7).

Regarding claim 13, Yang teaches each RTE 52 controls each frame rate of the video stream independently (see FIG. 4; see col. 4, lines 59-65) and packetizes the stream to be depacketized at the original frame rate in a display device (via decoder 22 – see FIG. 1).

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stanger et al. (US 6,084,910) teaches an apparatus for statistically multiplexing a plurality of video signals. Sanami (US 6,014,368) teaches a packet multiplexing system which detects multiplexing errors, including packetizing modules that packetize elementary streams to produce packetized elementary streams, and a TS/PS packetizing module to produce transport streams or program streams.

Application/Control Number: 09/522,053

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc K. Vu whose telephone number is 703-306-5976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on 703-305-4380. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

NV May 20, 2002 ANDREW FAILE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600